



Amendment #2 - Questions & Answers (Q&A)
RFP PMAA 2026*0020
Spring Creek CMGC

A. The following questions, and the answer to each, were received:

1. Please provide any weight restrictions for bridges to the site along CO Rd 744.
 - i. There are currently no weight restrictions on any of the bridges along FSR 744.
 - ii. The two upper bridges were replaced in 2025.
 - iii. The lower two bridges have the following load ratings:
 1. Spring Creek No. 1 - 35 tons
 2. Spring Creek No. 2 - 64 tons
 - iv. The load rating above is a general case. The exact rating depends upon the type of vehicle traveling across the bridge, number of axels, etc. The contractor will have to work with the USFS Regional Bridge Engineer to obtain the necessary overload permits.
2. Please confirm if any bridges or weight restrictions are in place along CO Rd 742 and FSR 748 for access through Taylor Park Recreation to Spring Creek Dam.
 - i. See response from question "1" above for bridges along FSR 744. There are currently no weight restrictions on any of the bridges along FSR 748.
 - ii. The following provides a general sense of the current load ratings along the FSR 748 route.
 1. FSR 742 / Texas Creek Bridge - 48 tons
 2. FSR 748 / Rocky Brook Bridge - 48 tons

iii. The load rating above is a general case. The exact rating depends upon the type of vehicle traveling across the bridge, number of axels, etc. The contractor will have to work with the USFS Regional Bridge Engineer to obtain the necessary overload permits.

3. The RFP response requests items such as a sample risk register and high-level schedule. If 11x17's and/or additional exhibits are needed, can these be attached as Appendix D and beyond? If so, will those appendices count towards any page limit?

i. The Example Risk Register and high level schedule should be included in the main body of the RFP and not in Appendix D. They will be included in the page limit identified in the RFP.

B. All other terms and conditions of RFP PMAA 2026*0020 remain the same.